North West Cross Institutional Action Learning







Context





Project Management



NW HE Cross Institutional Action Learning





Our Approach





Challenges



To be more engaged with the administration of the sets to encourage attendance

Setting ground rules to build trust and shared understanding



Observing behaviours without evaluating

Being more assertive when they start to give advice





Getting groups to explore/question the issues in more depth, so as to raise the awareness as the problem holder



Managing disruptive behaviour



Evaluation



Action Learning

Stage 1 Evaluation – During the Programme

- The first action learning sets took place on 14th October 2015.
- On 16th November 2015 an on-line survey was sent to 42 attendees.
- 18th December 2015 survey closing date, yielded 20 responses = 48%.

Participants stated that the principal reasons for joining the programme were:

- ✓ Expand personal development
- \checkmark To get together with other female academics to share experiences.

Undertaken by Jason Boulter LJMU and Linda George Huddersfield University



? Group contained a good mix of members: 50% strongly agreed / 50% agreed
? Group cohesion from the first meeting: 35% strongly agreed / 65% agreed
? Group trust was quickly established: 50% strongly agreed / 45% agreed / 5% disagreed
? Group communication flowed freely: 55% strongly agreed / 40% agreed / 5% disagreed
? Opportunity to reflect on questions : 40% strongly agreed / 40% agreed / 20% disagreed
? Developed group working skills: 15.8% strongly agreed / 68.4% agreed / 15.8% disagreed
? Developed interpersonal skills: 10% strongly agreed / 60% agreed / 30% disagreed
? Enabled learning to take place: 45% strongly agreed / 55% agreed



Stage 2 Evaluation – After the Programme

On 2nd June 2016 a face-to-face Evaluation session was conducted with the set members following the final action learning set of the programme. The participants were asked to express their opinions on the various elements of the programme and in addition, to share their "learning journey" visually and verbally.

On 15th July 2016 an on-line survey was sent to the 42 original participants *(academic and professional services staff)* with a separate survey addressed to the facilitators.

Taking into account annual summer holidays the response deadline was extended to 16th September 2016, yielding **17 participant responses = 42%** and **7 facilitator responses = 77%**. This figure for participant responses is lower than the initial survey responses as was anticipate due to the number of participants who did not complete the programme.

During a 2-week period in September 2016 a total of 15 telephone interviews were conducted.

Undertaken by Beverley Agard-Owen, Director of the Action Learning Academy Limited in conjunction with the School of Management at Swansea University



Participants Feedback



Common themes

Managing-Difficult-People Line-management-issues Career/job difficult-managers Managing-Difficult-Situations Communication Personal-development Communication Personal-development Communication Personal-development Communication Personal-development Communication Personal-development Career-development Poor-management-practices Career-development Career-development Career-development Career-development Career-development Career-development Career-development Career-progression



One word feedback

Positive Insiahtful EnjoyableUseful Satisfying Transformational Reassuring Invigorating Absorbing Stimulati sorbing Stimulating Excellent

NW HE Cross Institutional

Action Learning

Work related confidence levels



NW HE Cross Institutional Action Learning

Has it brought about positive change?









Personal Journeys







Facilitators' Feedback



One word feedback

Collaborative Rewarding Enlightening Proud Interesting enriching



Top 6 Essential Qualities of a Facilitator





Observations/Recommendations

I think the post-it method worked well - it kept the sessions focused & was easy for members to understand and follow quickly.

Im not sure how the change of facilitator impacted on the sets, I would be interested to see their feedback.

I don't think the interchange of facilitators 'harmed' the group, if anything it meant they had to build the trust amongst themselves more. The post-it method was useful and I think once the first post-it questions were asked an opportunity for another round was useful so as to dig further into the issue

Think more time could be spent on developing questioning skills of participants, or more time developing a shared understanding and agreement of the technique.

We had a pool of facilitators, some facilitated more than once with the same or a different set.



Evaluator's Feedback

The North West Higher Education Cross Institutional Action Learning Programme is a major success and the project team deserve the highest praise for their dedication and determination for the delivery of a success pilot programme.

The participants comments show their overwhelming support this initiative:

- "An insightful and inspiring programme."
- "My participation in the action learning set has given me problem-solving skills that have reduced the stress of the workplace. It was also a lot of fun meeting like-minded women."

- "Excellent programme, very supportive, a good opportunity to network and empower women to make changes".
- "This was a much welcomed and positive experience.
- Action Learning proved to be a gentle but very powerful tool which helped me in both my personal and professional development and through which I made new strong friendships."



Successes

- Universities working in partnership
- Participants ability to confidently deal with challenges
- Cost effective approach to personal and professional development
- Sustainable programme now 2nd cohort
- Structured programme that others can take forward



Changes made as a result of the evaluation

- All groups at same university
- Requirement to attend 3 of 4
- More time spent on ground rules
- More time for feedback at start of set meeting
- Facilitators supporting participants development of listening/questioning skills through feedback



Next step-Luestions Do something What we can share ARE YOU UP FOI with you and next steps THE CHALLENGE Refle 🖤 North west Cross Institutio... 🗙 🕇 + C Q Search ☆ 自 ♥ ♣ 余 三 i actionlearn.weebly.com 0 WEST CROSS INSTITUTIONAL ACTION LEARNING FOR WOMEN HOME // ABOUT THE PROJECT // GET INVOLVED // MEETINGS // RESOURCES // CONTACTS // MORE. 👿 North west Cross Institutio... 🕱 👧 North West Cross Institutio... 🗴 🕂 0 0 - R C C Search + m 🖾 = 🚯 My Sites 🗖 Reade C PEERS ACROSS THE NORTH WEST HELPING EACH OTHER TO SOLVE PROBLEMS rep associated with STEM AURORA ATHENA SWAI FIND OUT MORE Website North West Cross North West Institutional Action Institutional Learning Project Action Learning University of Cumbria uclar Action Learning Project for University of JMU HUDDERSFIELD Universities in the North West Action Learning provides a methodology for tackling intractable work placed issues. It is a process that has challenge, support and skillful questioning at its Lancaster University of Chester LIVERPOOL MANCHESTER Home Communications As its name suggests Action Learning is about "doing" and reflecting on the learning taken from the action taken. Where effectively implemented it is an Project Updates extraordinarily powerful process. Action Learning Technique University of Liverpool: Liver RADE, UCLAN; Chester; Lancaster; Manchester; Huddersfield; Cust Facilitators 5 Ws Of Action **Evaluation** Development of the Good Practice Guide Project Timescale Strategy Dissemination to other 03 04 October 2015 - April 2017 regions Best Practice Guide Evaluation Project Aims and Objectives Conference presentations To develop a methodology to enable a group of universities to deliver sustainable cross-institutional action learning to "enhance our networks and communities of Project Updates practice to enable greater peer support and challenge which also create Roadman **NW HE Cross Institutional** Action Learning

Questions ③

